SINGLE BENCH

2

CF/1001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE BILASPUR

W.P. No. 4289 /2006

(S)

PETITIONER : Smt. Snageeta Dhiri, W/o Shri Daya Shankar Dhiri, Aged about 42 years, R/o Bazaar Para, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary Schedule Caste & Schedule Tribe Welfare Department, D K S Bhawan, Mantralaya Raipur, Distt. - Raipur (C.G.).

2. The Commissioner, Schedule Caste & Schedule Tribe Welfare Department, Raipur (C.G.).

3. Block Education Officer, Kota, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

4. Smt. Panch Kumari Bhaskar, Superintendent Pre Metric Girls Hostel Pandariya, Distt. Kawardha (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4351/06
Presented by Shri Aneesh Tandon
dated 8/8/06

RECEIVED COPY
8/8/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... W.P. 4289/ **सन्** 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	14-8-2006 Shri Vinod Deshmukh, counsel for the petitioner. Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents No.1 to 3, on advance copy. Heard. By the instant petition, the petitioner, who is an Assistant Teacher and working as Superintendent, Government Pre Metric Scheduled Caste Girls Hostel, Kota, Bilaspur, has questioned the order dated 7th July 2006, Annexure-P/1, whereby she has been transferred to Pandariya, District Kawardha. The main grievance of the petitioner is that the post of the petitioner is district level, seniority is being maintained at the district level, even then she has been transferred out of the district. In the circumstances, counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 and he be directed to decide the representation, to which counsel for respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this petition to respondent No.1 raising all her grievances within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 shall decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law.	

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

23

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Barve	in the meanwhile, the effect and operation of the impugned order in respect of the petitioner shall remain stayed, till the representation of the petitioner is decided. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.3325/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C.Bhadoo** **Judge**	

P.T.O.

18-8-06